



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/489,597	01/20/2000	Evgeniy M. Getsin	IACTP017	IACTP017 6029	
22242 75	90 01/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	TABIN AND FLAN	BASHORE, WILLIAM L			
120 SOUTH LA SUITE 1600	A SALLE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			2176		

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/489,597	GETSIN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
William L. Bashore	2176

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William L. Bashore	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in committee with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection, e	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p.iidiit / iiiidiidiiidiii	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	red.
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:		WILLIAM BASHO	
		PRIMARY EXAMIN	1EH
		January 11, 2006	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding 10 U.S. Patent Applicantions indicated on Applicant's IDS filed March 15, 2004, Applicant is respectfully directed to Examiner Interview Summary Record mailed September 8, 2004, which addresses this issue. In short, the examiner has considered these references.

Applicant arguments are substantially directed to the assertion that Roberts does not teach "determining", "a" start time, "each" of the client...", etc. It is noted that Roberts teaches synchronization of a CD to any number of chat room participant devices. Communication (i.e. requests, etc.) between each client device and the server is initialized, and processed accordingly. As currently claimed, Roberts teaches a "predefined" period and a "threshold" period as explained in the Final Office action.

WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/11/206